## BARKER, GELFAND, JAMES & SARVAS

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

**Atlantic County Office:** 

210 New Road Linwood Greene – Suite 12 Linwood, New Jersey 08221 (609) 601-8677 (609) 601-8577 – Telefax A. MICHAEL BARKER \*
TODD J. GELFAND \*\*
VANESSA E. JAMES \*\*+
JEFFREY P. SARVAS

GREG DILORENZO ADAM E. BARKER **Burlington County Office:** 

1 Eves Drive, Suite 111 Marlton, New Jersey 08053 (609) 601-8677 (609) 601-8577 – Telefax E-Mail:

TGelfand@BarkerLawFirm.net
By Appointment Only

**Gloucester County Office:** 

91 Circle Avenue Pitman, New Jersey 08071 (856) 244-1854

Email: <u>VJames@BarkerLawFirm.net</u> *By Appointment Only* 

PLEASE REPLY TO ATLANTIC COUNTY OFFICE

\* CERTIFIED BY THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY AS A CIVIL TRIAL ATTORNEY \*\* LICENSED TO PRACTICE IN PENNSYLVANIA + ASSOCIATION OF WORKPLACE

INVESTIGATORS - CERTIFICATE HOLDER

Website: <a href="www.barkerlawfirm.net">www.barkerlawfirm.net</a> e-mail: <a href="mailto:TGelfand@BarkerLawFirm.net">TGelfand@BarkerLawFirm.net</a>

June 1, 2023

United States Judge Karen M. Williams Mitchell H. Cohen Building & U.S. Courthouse 4th & Cooper Streets Camden, NJ 08101

Re: Marc A. Stephens v. Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney General, State of New Jersey, Atlantic County Prosecutor's Office, Stockton University, <u>Galloway Township</u>, Detective Sergeant Michael Matteoli, Robert Blaskiewicz, Jr., All Defendants sued individually and in official capacity.

1:22-cv-01989-KMW-SAK

Dear Judge Williams:

We offer this letter in response and "opposition" to Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration, filed yesterday, in the above-referenced matter.

Plaintiff seeks reconsideration of an opinion and order which dismissed the claims as to Galloway Township, along with dismissal of certain claims against other defendants. From our review of Plaintiff's reconsideration motion, it appears to advance

Page 2

June 1, 2023

United States Judge Karen M. Williams

Re: Marc A. Stephens v. Gurbir S. Grewal, Attorney General, State of New Jersey, Atlantic County

Prosecutor's Office, Stockton University, Galloway Township, et al.

1:22-cv-01989-KMW-SAKD

arguments for reconsideration which do not relate, in any way, to

the grounds for dismissal of the claims against Defendant Galloway

Township as set forth in the Court's decision at docket entry no.

22, pages 15 to 17. Therefore, we presume that no opposition is

necessary on behalf of Galloway Township, but we nonetheless file

this letter to preserve Galloway Township's position that the

motion does not support reconsideration of the dismissal of

Galloway Township. Plaintiff makes certain argument concerning the

Court's Tort Claims Act analysis, which could relate to additional

grounds for dismissal of certain claims against Galloway, but the

Court's opinion reflects that even if the TCA issue were decided

in Plaintiff's favor, the claims against Galloway could not proceed

because of the failure to plead a factual basis for Galloway

Township liability since no Galloway Township employee is alleged

to have caused the harm complained of by Plaintiff Stephens.

Respectfully,

BARKER, GELFAND, JAMES & SARVAS

A Professional Corporation

By: s/ Todd J. Gelfand

Todd J. Gelfand, Esquire

cc: MARC A. STEPHENS 271 ROSEMONT PL

ENGLEWOOD, NJ 07631